UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,733	10/03/2005	Katsumi Matsuno	SONYJP 3.3-371	4331
	7590 05/09/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,		EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
600 SOUTH A' WESTFIELD, I	= :=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/551,733	MATSUNO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JUSTIN P. MISLEH	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Jul This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2005</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the oreology and the correction of the correction of the oreology and the correction of	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The substitute specification filed June 18, 2007 has been entered because it conforms to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c).
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which Applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1, 9, and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities: lack of clarity and precision.

Claim 1 requires, "classifying means for classifying files recorded on a recording medium according to information on a date of creation of each one of the classified files; detecting means for detecting an externally obtained file from among the classified files recorded on the recording medium".

According to paragraphs 92 – 106 and figure 5, the images stored on the recording medium that were captured by the camera are assigned "flag=1" and the images stored on the recording medium that were obtained from some external device are assigned "flag=0". Flag=1 indicates to the camera CPU that these images were captured by the camera and should be

Application/Control Number: 10/551,733

Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

organized according to the photographing date and time. Because the original photographing date and time of the externally obtained images may or may not be known, the camera upon obtaining the external images assigns an obtainment date and time and refers to it as the "correction date and time". Flag=0 indicates to the camera CPU that these images were obtained externally and should be organized according to this obtainment date.

In the claim, the "classifying means" first classifies according to the "date of creation", which indicates that the "classifying means" is classifying images captured by the camera according to the date and time they were captured, and then the "detecting means" detects an externally obtained image from among the classified images. The Examiner respectfully notes that there would be no externally obtained images that would have been classified by the classifying means according to the date of creation. The externally obtained images are classified according to their date of obtainment. This is indicated towards the end of the claim language where "classifying means classifies the externally obtained file according to information on a date of obtainment of the externally obtained file" is recited.

Claim 9 recites a similar when the limitation beginning with "the classifying step" refers to the "classifying" in the first limitation of the claim. The first "classifying" limitation classifies the images captured on the camera. Claim 17 replaces the "means" with respective units and devices.

The Examiner respectfully submits **Claims 1, 9, and 17** should be amended eliminate this lack of clarity and precision. **Appropriate correction is required.**

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1 – 5, 8 – 13, and 16 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sun et al. (US 2004/0145602 A1).

The Examiner respectfully notes Claims 1 and 9 appear to be corresponding apparatus and method claims, respectively. For the sake of brevity, they will be rejected together using the language of Claim 1.

Additionally, the Examiner respectfully notes Claims 8 and 16 appear to be corresponding apparatus and method claims, respectively. For the sake of brevity, they will be rejected together using the language of Claim 8.

Finally, the Examiner respectfully notes apparatus Claim 1 appears to fully encompass apparatus Claim 17 and apparatus Claim 8 appears to fully encompass apparatus Claim 18. For the sake of brevity, Claim 17 will be rejected together with Claims 1 and 9 using the language of Claim 1 and Claim 18 will be rejected together with Claims 8 and 16 using the language of Claim 8.

7. For **Claims 1, 9, and 17**, Sun et al. disclose, as shown in figures 1, 4, 5, and 6, an information processing device (118), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/551,733

Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

classifying means (404 – figure 4) for classifying files recorded on a recording medium ("memory system (not shown) of computer 118" – see paragraph 27) according to information on a date of creation of each one of the classified files (step 502 – see figure 5);

detecting means (404 - figure 4) for detecting an externally obtained file (scanned photos 104 and 108 - figure 1) from among the classified files recorded on the recording medium (see paragraphs 48 - 63 and steps 504 - 512 in figure 5);

and display control means (404 – figure 4) for displaying (step 518) a result of classification (step 502) by the classifying means on a display unit (128 – see figures 1 and 6);

wherein, when the detecting means detects the externally obtained file, the classifying means classifies the externally obtained file according to information on a date of obtainment of the externally obtained file (Step 502 first determines if the digital image has date and time information attached in the metadata. If it does, that digital image is classified according to the time in the metadata. If it does not, the image analyzed to determine if time information exists within the contents of the image and, if time information is present within the image content, that digital image is classified according to the time in the image content. If no time was present in the image content, the image is classified according to the time it became a digital image and was stored. See paragraph 48 – 63 and figure 5 for support).

8. As for **Claims 2 and 10**, Sun et al. disclose, as clearly shown in figure 6, wherein the display control means (404 – figure 4) divides a predetermined display screen (602) of the display unit (128) into a plurality of areas, assigns a date to each of the areas (604 and 606), and displays (608), as the result of the classification, the presence of a file in the area having a date

Application/Control Number: 10/551,733

Art Unit: 2622

corresponding to the information on the date of creation of the file or the information on the date of obtainment of the file (see paragraphs 64 - 69 for support).

Page 6

- 9. As for **Claims 3 and 11**, Sun et al. disclose, as clearly shown in figures 5 and 6, wherein the display control means (404 figure 4) displays the information on the date of creation of the file or the information on the date of obtainment of the file on a predetermined display screen (602) of the display unit (128).
- 10. As for Claims 4 and 12, Sun et al. disclose, as clearly shown in figure 6, instructing means (404 figure 4) for giving an instruction to display a list of files classified as the result of the classification on the predetermined display screen (602 figure 6) of the display unit (128 figure 6), wherein according to the instruction given by the instructing means, the display control means (404 figure 4) displays the files classified by the classifying means (404 figure 4) on the display screen (128 figure 6) such that the file classified according to the information on the date of creation is distinguished from the file classified according to the information on the date of obtainment (Sun et al. show in figure 6 that each digital image is distinguished from one another as it is displayed. Therefore, the digital images classified according to the date of obtainment.).
- 11. As for Claims 5 and 13, Sun et al. disclose, as clearly shown in figure 6, wherein the display control means (404 figure 4) scrolls the predetermined display screen (602) of the display unit to display the result of the classification (see paragraphs 67 69).
- 12. For **Claims 8, 16, and 18**, Sun et al. disclose, as shown in figures 1, 4, 5, and 6, an image pickup device (camera 112 tethered to computer 118), comprising:

Art Unit: 2622

image pickup means (112 —figure 1) for picking up an image of a subject, and for recording the image of subject as a pickup image file on a recording medium ("memory system (not shown) of computer 118" – see paragraph 27);

receiving means (scanner 120 – figure 1) for obtaining an external image file, and for recording the external image file on the recording medium ("memory system (not shown) of computer 118" – see paragraph 27);

detecting means (404 - figure 4) for detecting the external image file (scanned photos 104 and 108 - figure 1) from among image files recorded on the recording medium (see paragraphs 48 - 63 and steps 504 - 512 in figure 5);

classifying means (404 – figure 4) for classifying the pickup image file recorded on the recording medium ("memory system (not shown) of computer 118" – see paragraph 27) according to information on an image pickup date of the pickup image file (step 502 – see figure 5), and for classifying the external image file according to information on an obtainment date of the external image file (Step 502 first determines if the digital image has date and time information attached in the metadata. If it does, that digital image is classified according to the time in the metadata. If it does not, the image analyzed to determine if time information exists within the contents of the image and, if time information is present within the image content, that digital image is classified according to the time in the image content. If no time was present in the image content, the image is classified according to the time it became a digital image and was stored. See paragraph 48 – 63 and figure 5 for support); and

display control means (404 – figure 4) for displaying (step 518) a result of classification (step 502) by the classifying means on a display unit (128 – see figures 1 and 6).

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 6, 7, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. (US 2004/0145602 A1) in view of Niikawa (US 6,757,479 B1).
- 15. As for **Claims 6 and 14**, Sun et al. do not disclose wherein the display control means changes a speed at which the predetermined display screen of the display unit is scrolled according to a quantity of files classified as the result of the classification.

On the other hand, Niikawa also disclose an apparatus for browsing through digital image files. Niikawa specifically shows, in figure 2, an apparatus (1) having a display unit (6) and display control means (5) controlling the display of images on the display unit (6). Furthermore, as shown in figures 7a. 7b, and 10, Niikawa describes a method for high-speed browsing that includes determining the browsing speed in accordance with the total number of recorded images. Therefore, Niikawa teaches wherein the display control means changes a speed at which the predetermined display screen of the display unit is scrolled according to a quantity of files classified as the result of the classification.

Hence, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to have included wherein the display control means changes a speed at which the predetermined display screen of the display unit is scrolled according to a quantity of files classified as the result of the classification (as taught by Niikawa) in the device and

corresponding method of operating (disclosed by Sun et al.) for the advantage of *increasing the* practicality and efficiency of image browsing (see Niikawa, column 1, lines 41 – 45).

16. As for Claims 7 and 15, Niikawa further teaches, as shown in figures 8(a) - 8(d), wherein when changing the speed at which the predetermined display screen of the display unit is scrolled (via jog dial 78), the display control means considers a scroll amount required to display the result of the classification to be displayed by scrolling at a predetermined position on the display screen (see amount of image data displayed per image in figures 8(a) - 8(d)).

Cited Prior Art

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure because each discloses an apparatus and corresponding method for displaying digital images stored on a recording medium on a display unit of the apparatus such that digital images are chronologically organized according to date of creation and/or date of obtainment and displayed in a calendar-based GUI displayed on a display unit.

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Justin P Misleh whose telephone number is 571.272.7313. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on 571.272.7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Justin P. Misleh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2622 May 9, 2008